

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/830,744	06/18/2001	Rolando Barbucci	1757	3626
7:	590 10/03/2003		EXAMINER	
Walter Schneider 21530 Beechwood			KRISHNAN, GANAPATHY	
Circleville, OF			ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
,			1623	1 <
			DATE MAILED: 10/03/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Advisory Action	09/830,744	BARBUCCI ET AL.	
Adaisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Ganapathy Krishnan	1623	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence ado	lress
THE REPLY FILED 28 August 2003 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avairal rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1 condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appea Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this application) a timely filed amendment whic I (with appeal fee); or (3) a timel	ation. A proper repl h places the applica	y to a ation in
	EPLY [check either a) or b)]		
a) The period for reply expires 6 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The see have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of the under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of 2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Official intelligible, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of 2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Official intelligible in the calculated from: (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked.	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF The date on which the petition under 37 CF of extension and the corresponding amount of the shortened statutory period for reply ce later than three months after the main	g date of the final rejecting HE FINAL REJECTION. R 1.136(a) and the approperation of the fee. The appropriation of the final and the final the f	on. See MPEP ropriate extension ropriate extension Office action; or
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFF	Brief must be filed within the pe	eriod set forth in fithe appeal.	
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:		
(a) _ they raise new issues that would require further	er consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);	
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b	pelow);		
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in issues for appeal; and/or	n better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or si	mplifying the
(d) they present additional claims without canceli NOTE:	ng a corresponding number of f	inally rejected claim	S.
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	ion(s):		
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely filed	amendment
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because:		idered but does NO	T place the
 The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection. 	ause it is not directed SOLELY t	to issues which were	e newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we			and an
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:			
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>12-17</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	_		
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is	a)☐ approved or b)☐ disapp	roved by the Exami	ner.
Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemer	nt(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	 JAMES O. WILSON	\cap
0. Other:	SLIDE	JAMES U. WILSUN RVISORY PATENT EXP	WILLER
		CHNCLOGY CENTY	1
		Que DIA	Qa
Patient and Trademark Office		- VVO	

Applicants Amendment filed August 28, 2003 has been considered but not found to be persuasive. The rejections of claims 12-17 are being maintained. Applicants argue that the claim language added and deleted in Claim 12 limits the claim to amidic cross-linked polysaccharides. Claim 12 is still not seen to limit it to purely amidic cross-linked polysaccharides only. Since the amide group also has a carboxy group, the recitation "preparation of amidic cross-linked polysaccharides containing carboxy groups" is still seen to include carboxy groups in addition to amidic cross-links.

JAMES O. WILSON

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

2